

**Easterling, Deborah**

276289

**POSTED**  
5-30-18

**From:** Easterling, Deborah  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 30, 2018 2:46 PM  
**To:** 'michael.hajny'  
**Subject:** RE: Dominion Energy's USD 1,000 is less than the USD 3,100 to 3,500 I have already paid in to the canceled plant.

Dear Mr. Hajny,

This is to acknowledge receipt of your comments dated May 25, 2018, and May 29, 2018, regarding Docket No. 2017-305-E.

Your additional Comments will be placed in the Docket listed below and on the Commission's Website at [www.psc.sc.gov](http://www.psc.sc.gov).

- Docket No. 2017-305-E – Request of the Office of Regulatory Staff for Rate Relief to South Carolina Electric and Gas Company's Rates Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 58-27-920

If we may be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Deborah Easterling  
 Executive Assistant  
 Public Service Commission of South Carolina  
 803-896-5133  
 Sign up for Meeting Agenda Alerts: Text [PSCAGENDAS](#) to [39492](#)

**From:** michael.hajny  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2018 4:10 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>  
**Subject:** Dominion Energy's USD 1,000 is less than the USD 3,100 to 3,500 I have already paid in to the canceled plant.

**Greetings,**

I just did a back-of-the-envelope calculation of how much money I have already contributed to the cancelled V C Summer Nuclear Plant: between USD 3,100 and USD 3,500.00 total over the years. I am a home owner, a private citizen, I am not a business, commercial or industrial customer.

**Conclusion:** The USD 1,000.00 that Dominion Energy is offering is nowhere near what I have paid in to the canceled V C Summer Plant so far. Dominion Energy's offer is not attractive to me. I want all the money back from SCANA that I have paid into the cancelled V C Summer Plant, and I do not want to pay anymore into the future.

**Recommendation / Request:** The PSC, the Legislature and the Governor should force SCANA / SCE&G to provide actual, exact amounts to each customer of what the customer has already paid in to the cancelled V C Summer Nuclear Plant. SCANA / SCE&G can print the amount on the bottom of the next bill; and they can update the amount every month with the new bills.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Regards, Michael Hajny, Charleston, South Carolina.

Regards,

**RECEIVED**

MAY 30 2018

PSC SC  
MAIL / DMS

Michael Hajny

US mobile:

Skype:

Email (

Email (alternate)

Email (alternate):

**Easterling, Deborah**

---

**From:** michael.hajny  
**Sent:** Friday, May 25, 2018 1:13 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Contact  
**Subject:** Cancelled V C Sumner Plant.

**Greetings.**

Reports in the news this past few weeks have reinforced my views on the canceled V C Sumner Plant.

"Used", "Useful", and "Prudent" are the basis for assets and charges to be in the rate base. The cancelled V C Sumner Plant is neither used, useful and may not have been prudent.

- 1) I want back all the money I as a rate payer already paid into the cancelled V C Summer Plant.
- 2) I do not want to pay any money into the future for the cancelled V C Summer plant.
- 3) The offer by Dominion Energy means nothing to me.
- 4) SCANA should not pay any dividends to stockholders; stockholders bear the risk.
- 5) Do not pay bonuses to SCANA employees and officers.
- 6) Considering that the project was cancelled in retrospect is financial disaster for South Carolina rate payers of biblical proportions, SC and SCANA should take back some if not all of the bonuses it as paid to SCANA and SCE&G officers and managers involved in the V C Sumner plant.
- 7) Salaries of SCANA employees should be paid.
- 8) Bond holders and contractors for non-Summer work should be paid.
- 9) Reorganization bankruptcy may be SCANA's best way out of the mess that SCE&G created.
- 10) SCE&G Distribution and SCE&G Transmission provide good service to me.
- 11) SCE&G Capital Planning and Construction screwed up and created the mess you are in.
- 12) Lastly, move this whole mess to the courts and let the courts decide if the provision of the Base Load Review Act of 2007 that ratepayers have to pay for a failed / canceled project is legal. Thanks and regards, Michael Hajny, Charleston, SC.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Regards,

Michael Hajny

Skype: :

Email (primary):

Email (alternate):

Email (alternate): :